## **RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

In response to the Examiner's Interview Summary included in the Office Action dated June 27, 2008, Applicants respectfully submit this Response to Interview Summary pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 713.04.

Applicants thank the Examiner for conducting the telephone interview on June 9, 2008, and for the thoughtful consideration of this case. During the telephone interview, Applicants and Examiner discussed the Examiner's suggestion that Applicants divide pending Claims 40-74 into two groups: Group I including Claims 52-59, 64, and 69; and Group II including the rest of the claims. Because the Examiner has identified Claims 52-59, 64, and 69 as including allowable subject matter, a patent could issue on these claims alone. However, because Applicants believe all pending claims include allowable subject matter, Applicants declined to perform such a grouping of the claims. Agreement was not reached as to this matter.

Applicants and Examiner also discussed the incorrect dependency of Claim 49. Applicants' attorney was requested and agreed to change the dependency of Claim 49. Applicants agreed to an Examiner's Amendment, which changes the dependency from a non-existing Claim 75 to Claim 48.